



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
MANILA

(R)
- 09713 DPH
5-8-92

06 May 1992

DEPARTMENT ORDER)

No.

Series 53 7/8 5/8


X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X

SUBJECT: Amendment to Department
Order No. 137, Series of 1991

Effective immediately, the frequency of appraisal/rating of the Regional and Project Management Offices as regard to the materials and construction quality control aspects of project implementation being conducted by the Bureau of Research and Standards shall be reduced to one rating period from January to December per year instead of two periods per year as prescribed under Criteria No. 1 of Department Order No. 137, Series of 1991, copy attached, in order to ensure a wider coverage of projects to be assessed during the rating period.

Except for the above amendment, the revised criteria for rating and other pertinent provisions of the said Department Order shall remain in force.

Please be guided accordingly.


JOSE P. DE JESUS
Secretary

Attachment: As stated



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
MANILA

077.13 DPWH (P)
6-25-91

BRS # 622
19 214

13 June 1991

DEPARTMENT ORDER)

No. **137** *f 625* SUBJECT: Revised Criteria for Rating
Series of 1991)
X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X) Quality Control in Project
Implementation

In line with the main thrust and objective of the Department to ensure proper quality and speed thru fast-track implementation of public works and highways projects, the Regional and Project Management Offices shall be rated as regard to the materials and construction quality control aspect of project implementation. This will be done in order to identify the weak responsibility areas in project implementation and undertake necessary steps to strengthen the quality assurance program of the Department. This rating shall also serve as one of the tools to professionalize the system of promotion and assignment of its personnel. In this connection, the following revised criteria for rating quality control in project implementation are hereby prescribed, for your guidance and compliance:

1. The Bureau of Research and Standards (BRS) shall conduct the appraisal of quality control performance of all Regional and Project Management Offices for two periods per year: January to June and July to December.
2. The findings of the Quality Assurance Units on projects assessed within a particular appraisal period shall be included in the rating.
3. The criteria for appraisal shall be the following:

<u>Responsibility Areas</u>	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Points</u>
a. Effectiveness of Quality Control Implementation		65
a.1 Timeliness of testing materials relative to its actual use in the project	10	
a.2 Compliance to quality control policies	15	
a.3 Quality of completed work	40	

b. Personnel Adequacy and Competence 15

b.1 Project/Resident/Materials
Engineers 10

b.2 Laboratory Personnel 5

c. Laboratory Management and Operations 20

c.1 Adequacy/reliability of
laboratory equipment and
facilities 7.5

c.2 Compliance to standard
sampling and testing methods 7.5

c.3 Efficiency of reporting
system 5

TOTAL 100

4. The Overall Accumulated Points (OAP) of each Region shall be the average of the total points earned by the Regional Office and the District/City Offices.
5. The Overall Accumulated Points (OAP) of each Central Office PMOs (COPMOS) shall be the total points earned by their office divided by the total number of projects rated.
6. The performance level of the Regional and Project Management Offices shall be rated as follows:

OVERALL ACCUMULATED POINTS

PERFORMANCE RATING

91 - 100

Outstanding

81 - 90

Very Satisfactory

71 - 80

Satisfactory

61 - 70

Fair

Below 60


Unsatisfactory

7. Immediately after the end of each appraisal period, the BRS shall make a report to the Secretary thru the Undersecretary concerned, copy furnished the Regional/Project Management Office being appraised.
8. The Quality Control Performance Rating of each office shall be published in the official publication of the Department (DPWH Technical Journal) and outstanding performance will be commended by the Secretary.

9. The Quality Control Performance Rating shall be one of the factors that will be considered for future promotions/assignments.

This supersedes all existing memoranda/orders inconsistent hereto.

This Order shall take effect immediately.


JOSE P. DE JESUS
Secretary