

Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY Manila

June 22, 2020

MEMORANDUM

÷.

FOR

MARK A. VILLAR Secretary This Department

This refers to the memorandum dated May 26, 2020 of **DPWH Region XI Director ALLAN S. BORROMEO**, endorsing the request of OIC-District Engineer **DANIEL A. JARAVATA**, Compostela Valley DEO, for the **modification** of the project under FY 2020 GAA, to wit;

As per GAA/Original			As Modified		
		Project De	escription		
JACS No. 31010710 Project ID: P004000 OO1: Ensure Safe and Asset Preservation - National Roads with SI Primary Roads Daang Maharlika (MN) (1385 + 600 - K1385 360, K1401 + 500	D55MN Rehabilitation/ ips, Slope Collaps) - K1384 + 550 5 + 650 , K1398	Reconstruction of se, and Landslide - D - K1384 + 650 , + 300 - K1398 +	OO1: Ensure Safe and Asset Preservation - National Roads with SI - Primary Roads Daang Maharlika (MN) K1385 + 600 - K1385 423 , K1404 + 720 - K	Rehabilitation/ R lips, Slope Collaps - K1384 + 710 + 638 , K1398 +	econstruction of se, and Landslide - K1384 + 867 ,
(1404 + 800 Type of Work/ Physical Target	Unit Cost	Allocation	Type of Work/ Physical Target	Unit Cost	Estimated Cost
CW1- Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure/ 2,800.00 sq.m.	₽ 9,800 / sq.m.	₽ 27,440,000	CW1- Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure/ 1,217.400 sq.m.	₽ 22,540/ sq.m.	₽ 27,440,000
EAO	-	₽ 560,000	EAO		₽ 560,000
-	Total:	₽ 28,000,000	0 1011 +	Total:	₽ 28,000,000
 was already a Increase in leady average height 	ction wherein sub addressed/underg ength in slope pr ht of retaining wa	ostantial volume has yone maintenance w otection from 360 l Ill from 5m to 3m ar	already eroded. Origina orks; m to 383.4 lm but with nd due to said deletion o	I 4 th section was lesser area due to f one section;	o the decrease in
 was already a Increase in leady a average height There is consisteed sheet p Section) required for soil and state Height of emails Also, design 	ction wherein sub addressed/underg ength in slope pr ht of retaining wa iderable cost for iles with concrete ires height of 8n abilize the unstab bankment_(along	estantial volume has gone maintenance w otection from 360 l all from 5m to 3m ar slope protection du e coping since along n (3 layers, a total o le slope; and g cliff section) is up is side cuts (mount	already eroded. Origina vorks; m to 383.4 lm but with	I 4 th section was lesser area due to f one section; r one section from Im road section a s) to prevent exc borrow which er	deleted since this o the decrease in n retaining wall to as per design (2 nd cessive movement ntails higher cost.
 was already a Increase in leady a average height There is consisteed sheet p Section) required for a solution of soil and state to the solution of the solution o	tion wherein sub addressed/underg ength in slope pro- th of retaining wa iderable cost for iles with concrete ires height of 8n abilize the unstab ibankment (along of slope require increase in unit co iluation, the sub recommended.	bestantial volume has gone maintenance w otection from 360 l all from 5m to 3m an slope protection du e coping since along in (3 layers, a total of the slope; and g cliff section) is up to side cuts (mount st.	s already eroded. Origina vorks; m to 383.4 lm but with nd due to said deletion of e to change in design for g cliff section. The 38.4 of 1,536 lm of sheet pile to 5m and mostly from tain side) of up to 5m. for modification of the how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here	I 4 th section was lesser area due to f one section; r one section from Im road section a es) to prevent exc h borrow which er Hence, with con	deleted since this o the decrease in n retaining wall to as per design (2 nd ressive movement ntails higher cost. nsiderable volume
 was already a Increase in leaverage heigh There is consisteel sheet p Section) required for soil and state of soil and soil and soil and sta	tion wherein sub addressed/underg ength in slope pri- ht of retaining wa iderable cost for iles with concrete ires height of 8n abilize the unstab bankment (along of slope require ncrease in unit co luation, the sub recommended.	estantial volume has gone maintenance w otection from 360 l all from 5m to 3m ar slope protection du e coping since along in (3 layers, a total of the slope; and g cliff section) is up as side cuts (mount st.	s already eroded. Origina vorks; m to 383.4 lm but with nd due to said deletion of e to change in design for g cliff section. The 38.4 of 1,536 lm of sheet pile to 5m and mostly from tain side) of up to 5m. for modification of the how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here	I 4 th section was lesser area due to f one section; r one section from Im road section a es) to prevent exc h borrow which er Hence, with con	deleted since this o the decrease in n retaining wall to as per design (2 nd ressive movement ntails higher cost. nsiderable volume
 was already a Increase in la average heigh There is consisteed sheet p Section) requestion of soil and state Height of em Also, design resulting to in Based on our evaluation of the section of t	tion wherein sub addressed/underg ength in slope pri- ht of retaining wa iderable cost for iles with concrete ires height of 8n abilize the unstab bankment (along of slope require ncrease in unit co luation, the sub recommended.	estantial volume has gone maintenance w otection from 360 l all from 5m to 3m ar slope protection du e coping since along in (3 layers, a total of the slope; and g cliff section) is up as side cuts (mount st.	s already eroded. Origina vorks; m to 383.4 lm but with nd due to said deletion of e to change in design for g cliff section. The 38.4 of 1,536 lm of sheet pile to 5m and mostly from tain side) of up to 5m. for modification of the how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here how how here	I 4 th section was lesser area due to f one section; r one section from Im road section a es) to prevent exc h borrow which er Hence, with con	deleted since this o the decrease in n retaining wall to as per design (2 nd ressive movement ntails higher cost. nsiderable volume
 was already a Increase in la average heigh There is consisteed sheet p Section) requestion of soil and state Height of em Also, design resulting to in Based on our evaluation of the section of t	tion wherein sub addressed/underg ength in slope print of retaining wa iderable cost for iles with concrete ines height of 8n abilize the unstab bankment (along of slope require ncrease in unit co uluation, the sub recommended.	estantial volume has gone maintenance w otection from 360 l all from 5m to 3m ar slope protection du e coping since along in (3 layers, a total of the slope; and g cliff section) is up as side cuts (mount st.	s already eroded. Origina vorks; m to 383.4 lm but with nd due to said deletion of e to change in design for g cliff section. The 38.4 of 1,536 lm of sheet pile to 5m and mostly from tain side) of up to 5m. for modification of the to 5m with the tot function of the function of the function of the function of the function of the function of the function of the function of the function of the	I 4 th section was lesser area due to f one section; r one section from Im road section a es) to prevent exc h borrow which er Hence, with con	deleted since this o the decrease in n retaining wall to as per design (2 nd ressive movement ntails higher cost. nsiderable volume