N.	y h
2	
	~~

Ref No. CAR-068

Project ID: P00609605LZ

Appropriation: 26,098,000

Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS CENTRAL OFFICE

Manila

#### **Programming Division Evaluation Sheet**

Name of Project:

Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis-Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 058 - K0442 + 072, K0443 + 190 - K0443 + 207, K0443 + 425 - K0443 + 451, K0445 + 105 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 055 - K0446 + 090, K0446 + 307 - K0446 + 335, K0446 + 390 - K0446 + 415, K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 450, K0446 + 490 - K0446 + 528, K0446 + 545 - K0446 + 560, K0447 + 040 - K0447 + 057, K0447 + 382 - K0447 + 417

Implementing Office:	Mount	ain Province Second DE	0	Date:	03/24/2022
A. TYPE OF REC					
A.1	and the second design of the s	plementing Office/Ope	rating Unit due to		
A.1.1		aphical Jurisdiction			
A.1.2	Approv	al of Authority to Bid/Impl	lement		
A.2	PROJECT MO	DIFICATION/ADJUSTM	ENTS		
A.2.1	Typogr	raphical Error/s			
A.2.2		e in Location (for <u>non-exis</u>	tent locations only)		
A.2.3		e in Station Limits			
A.2.4	Additio	on/Deletion of Word/s			
A.2.5		e in Project Component/s	Details		
		Change in Targets ONLY			
		Change in Scope of Work			
		Change in Component Am			
		Addition of Component O		ent)	
	A.2.5.5	Deletion of Component O			
		Movement of Funds bet.			
	A.2.5.7	MULTIPLE changes in Cor	mponent Details		
B. EVALUATIO					
B.1 🖌		VED as per the GAA Provisi			
B.2		as per the GAA Provisions	S		
c. FUND SOUR	CE FY 2022 GAA				
C.1 🗸	-	eased [✓] Unreleased)		Amount:	26,098,000
C.2	FCR				
D. Remarks:	Decrease in phys	sical targets by 1.98% and i	ncrease in unit cost by 2	.02%.	
		0 /			
	(A				×
	/ (			the	
<b>Evaluated By:</b>	CHRISTYBE	C. CANUEL	Noted By: 📃	FROILAN B.	MABINI JR.
	Regional Ø	loordinator		OIC-Section	n Chief
		a succe state when some same same time the same same	a second second states which makes states states and	an extense sectors extends another process since	
		ACTION SL	TP		
		ACTION SL	IP	Ref No.	CAR-068
Project ID: P006096	50517	ACTION SL	IP		Contraction of the second of the
Project ID: P006096				Date:	03/24/2022
Project ID: P006096 FOR : USEC FOR OPE	RATIONS	RCY	IP		Contraction of the second of the
·	Reviewed by Pla	RCY anning Service		Date:	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service		Date:	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla	RCY anning Service		Date:	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service		Date:	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service		Date:	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service		Date:	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service for Approval	RRB	Date:	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service for Approval		Date: ERP, Jr.	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service for Approval	RRB	Date: ERP, Jr.	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service for Approval	RRB	Date: ERP, Jr.	03/24/2022
FOR : USEC FOR OPE	Reviewed by Pla Recommended	RCY anning Service for Approval	RRB	Date: ERP, Jr.	03/24/2022

Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AN OFFICE OF THE SECRET       Reference Number: Project ID:       CAR-068         MAR 2 4 2022 MEMORANDUM       Manila       Project ID:       P00609601         MAR 2 4 2022 MEMORANDUM       Manila       Project ID:       P00609601         FOR       ROGER G. MERCADO Acting Secretary This Department       Acting Secretary This Department       Nountain Province Second District Engineering Office for the approval of the Modification of the project under FY 2022 General Appropriation Act (GAA), to wit;         VACS No. 310109100956000 Project ID:       As per GAA/Original       As Modified         VACS No. 310109100956000 Project ID:       O01: Ensure Safe and Reliable National Road System       Asset Preservation - Rehabilitation/ Reconstruction of National Roads with Slips, Slope Collapse, and Landslide- Tertiary Roads       O01: Ensure Safe and Reliable National Road System         Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis-Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 055 - K0443 + 072, K0443 + 130 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 130 - K0446 + 020, K0446 + 337 - K0446 + 337, K0446 + 130 - K0446 + 1515, K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 438, K0446 + 438, K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 435, K0446 + 130 - K0446 + 155, K0446 + 337 - K0446 +	Asset Preservation - Reh National Roads with Slips Tertiary Roads Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonii 058 - K0442 + 072, K044 425 - K0443 + 451, K044 055 - K0446 + 090, K044 390 - K0446 + 415, K044 490 - K0446 + 528, K044	abilitation/ Reconstruction of s, Slope Collapse, and Landslide - n-Paracelis-Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 43 + 190 - K0443 + 207, K0443 + 45 + 105 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 46 + 307 - K0446 + 335, K0446 + 46 + 438 - K0446 + 450, K0446 + 46 + 545 - K0446 + 560, K0447 +	Asset Preservation - Reha National Roads with Slips, Tertiary Roads Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin 058 - K0442 + 072, K044 425 - K0443 + 451, K044 055 - K0446 + 090, K044 390 - K0446 + 415, K044 490 - K0446 + 528, K044 040 - K0447 + 057, K044	bilitation/ Recons Slope Collapse, a -Paracelis-Calacca 3 + 190 - K0443 5 + 105 - K0445 6 + 307 - K0446 6 + 438 - K0446 6 + 545 - K0446	truction of and Landslide - ad Rd - K0442 + + 207, K0443 + + 135, K0446 + + 335, K0446 + + 450, K0446 + + 560, K0447 +
Republic of the Philippines       Number:         Project ID:       P0060960!         MAR 2 4 2022       Manila         MEMORANDUM       FOR         ROGER G. MERCADO       Acting Secretary         This refers to the memorandum dated March 15, 2022 of DPWH Region CAR Director KHADAFFY D. TANGGOL, enclorsing the request of OIC- Assistant District Engineer Roland B. Matias, Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office for the approval of the Modification of the project under FY 2022 General Appropriation Act (GAA), to wit;         As per GAA/Original       As Modified         Project Description       UACS No. 310109100956000					
Republic of the Philippines       Number:         DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AN       Project ID:         MAR 2 4 2022       Manila         MEMORANDUM       Manila         FOR       ROGER G. MERCADO         Acting Secretary       This Department         This refers to the memorandum dated March 15, 2022 of DPWH Region CAR Director KHADAFFY D. TANGGOL, enclorsing the request of OIC- Assistant District Engineer Roland B. Matias, Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office for the approval of the Modification of the project under FY 2022 General Appropriation Act (GAA), to wit;         Marchaelee       As Modified		00956000			
Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AN OFFICE OF THE SECRET Manila FOR ROGER G. MERCADO Acting Secretary This Department This refers to the memorandum dated March 15, 2022 of DPWH Region CAR Director KHADAFFY D. TANGGOL, enclorsing the request of OIC- Assistant District Engineer Roland B. Matias, Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office for the approval of the Modification of the project under FY 2022 General Appropriation Act	As pe			s Modified	
Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AN OFFICE OF THE SECRET. Manila FOR : ROGER G. MERCADO Acting Secretary	endorsing the request o Engineering Office for t	of OIC- Assistant District Engi	neer Roland B. Matias,	Mountain Provin	ce Second District
Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AN OFFICE OF THE SECRET. Manila	Act	ting Secretary			
Republic of the Philippines Number:				2	
			UBLIC WORKS AN	Project ID:	P00609605

P ('000)	P ('000)	Physical Target	P ('000)	P ('000)	
₽ <b>9.909</b> / Square Meters	₽ 25,576.040	CW1- Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure : 2,530,000 Square Meters	<b>₽ 10.109</b> / Square Meters	₽ 25,576.040	
-	-	ROW – ROW Acquisition	-	-	
-	₽ 521.960	EAO	-	₽ 521.960	
Total:	₽ 26,098.000		Total:	₽ 26,098.000	
	₽ 9.909/ Square Meters - -	₽     9.909/       Square Meters     ₽       -     -       -     -       -     ₽       521.960	P (000)         P (000)         CW1- Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure :           ₽ 9.909/ Square Meters         ₽ 25,576.040         CW1- Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure :           -         -         ROW – ROW Acquisition           -         ₽ 521.960         EAO	₽       9.909/ Square Meters       ₽ 25,576.040       CW1- Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure :       ₽ 10.109/ Square Meters         -       -       ROW - ROW Acquisition       -         -       ₽ 521.960       EAO       -	

**Justification:** 

• There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation due to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.

 The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.

Based on our evaluation, the submitted request for modification of the said project is in order. Hence, the said request is hereby recommended for Secretary's consideration and approval.

**ROBERTO R. BERNARDO** Undersecretary for Operations in CAR, Regions I, II, III, IV-A and V

APPROVED/<del>DISAPPROVED</del>:

4

ROGER G. MERCADO Acting Secretary 3.4 FDN/JTG/AVM Department of Public Works and Highways Office of the Secretary

WIN2F06221



Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS CORDILLERA ADMINISTRATIVE REGION Engineer's Hill, Baguio City

# MAR 1 5 2022

MEMORANDUM

- FOR : ROGER G. MERCADO Acting Secretary
- THRU : ROBERTO R. BERNARDO Undersecretary for Regional Operations in Luzon except NCR and Region IV-B
- SUBJECT : Request for the Modification of the Project: Organizational Outcome 1: Ensure Safe and Reliable National Road System - Asset Preservation - Rehabilitation/ Reconstruction of National Roads with Slips, Slope Collapse, and Landslide - Tertiary Roads - Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis-Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 058 -K0442 + 072, K0443 + 190 - K0443 + 207, K0443 + 425 - K0443 + 451, K0445 + 105 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 055 - K0446 + 090, K0446 + 307 - K0446 + 335, K0446 + 390 - K0446 + 415, K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 450, K0446 + 490 - K0446 + 528, K0446 + 545 -K0446 + 560, K0447 + 040 - K0447 + 057, K0447 + 382 - K0447 + 417

We are respectfully forwarding the Memorandum dated March 3, 2022 of OIC – Assistant District Engineer Roland B. Matias, Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office requesting for the modification of the above project in the amount of **Twenty-Six Million Ninety-Eight Thousand Pesos (Php 26,098,000.00)**, as indicated below:

	FROM	ТО
Project Title	Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis- Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 058 - K0442 + 072, K0443 + 190 - K0443 + 207, K0443 + 425 - K0443 + 451, K0445 + 105 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 055 - K0446 + 090, K0446 + 307 - K0446 + 335, K0446 + 390 - K0446 + 415, K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 450, K0446 + 490 - K0446 + 528, K0446 + 545 - K0446 + 560, K0447 + 040 - K0447 + 057, K0447 + 382 - K0447 + 417	Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis- Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 058 - K0442 + 072, K0443 + 190 - K0443 + 207, K0443 + 425 - K0443 + 451, K0445 + 105 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 055 - K0446 + 090, K0446 + 307 - K0446 + 335, K0446 + 390 - K0446 + 415, K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 450, K0446 + 490 - K0446 + 528, K0446 + 545 - K0446 + 560, K0447 + 040 - K0447 + 057, K0447 + 382 - K0447 + 417, <b>K0453 + 883 –</b> <b>K0454 + 000</b>
Physical Target	2,581 Square meters	2,530 Square meters

The supporting documents based on D.O. No. 37, series of 2018 are attached for your ready reference.

For the consideration of the Secretary.

KHADA **D**'TANGGOL Regional Director

CAR.1 MGB/EFD/JWC/ABM



Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS **MOUNTAIN PROVINCE SECOND DISTRICT ENGINEERING OFFICE** CORDILLERA ADMINISTRATIVE REGION Natonin, Mountain Province

March 3, 2022

#### MEMORANDUM

FOR

: KHADAFFY D. TANGGOL Regional Director

THRU

#### : ANGELITA B. MABITAZAN Chief, Planning and Design Division

SUBJECT : Project Modification of Asset Preservation - Rehabilitation/ Reconstruction of National Roads with Slips, Slope Collapse, and Landslide - Tertiary Roads- Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis-Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 058 - K0442 + 072, K0443 + 190 - K0443 + 207, K0443 + 425 - K0443 + 451, K0445 + 105 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 055 - K0446 + 090, K0446 + 307 -K0446 + 335, K0446 + 390 - K0446 + 415, K0446 + 438 -K0446 + 450, K0446 + 490 - K0446 + 528, K0446 + 545 -K0446 + 560, K0447 + 040 - K0447 + 057, K0447 + 382 -K0447 + 417

FROM	ТО
Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis- Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 058 - K0442 + 072, K0443 + 190 - K0443 + 207, K0443 + 425 - K0443 + 451, K0445 + 105 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 055 - K0446 + 090, K0446 + 307 - K0446 + 335, K0446 + 390 - K0446 + 415, K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 450, K0446 + 490 - K0446 + 528, K0446 + 545 - K0446 + 560, K0447 + 040 - K0447 + 057, K0447 + 382 - K0447 + 417	Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis- Calaccad Rd - K0442 + 058 - K0442 + 072, K0443 + 190 - K0443 + 207, K0443 + 425 - K0443 + 451, K0445 + 105 - K0445 + 135, K0446 + 055 - K0446 + 090, K0446 + 307 - K0446 + 335, K0446 + 390 - K0446 + 415, K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 450, K0446 + 490 - K0446 + 528, K0446 + 545 - K0446 + 560, K0447 + 040 - K0447 + 057, K0447 + 382 - K0447 + 417, <b>K0453 + 883 - K0454 +</b> 000

This is to respectfully submit documentary requirements needed for modification of the projects for FY 2022 with the following documents hereto attached:

- 1. Modification Request Form
- 2. Certificate of Availability of Funds
- 3. Supporting Data & Analysis per Project
- 4. BP 202
- 5. Geotagged Photos -Hard Copy



Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS **MOUNTAIN PROVINCE SECOND DISTRICT ENGINEERING OFFICE** CORDILLERA ADMINISTRATIVE REGION Natonin, Mountain Province

-Electronic Copy -Plotted in the GIS Map

- 6. Certificate of No Overlapping
- 7. ROW Clearance
- 8. Environmental Clearances -Not Within a "No Build Zone" (MGB) -CNC
- 9. Certificate of Reasonableness
- 10. Project Details
  - -POW
  - -DUPA
  - -Plans
  - -Material Source Map

For consolidation and prior submission to Central Office.

Very truly yours,

## **RUSTOM A. MARTINEZ**

**District Engineer** 

By the Authority of the District Engineer:

ROLAND MATIAS

OIC- Assistant District Engineer

CAR. 19.1 BGD/ASP/JSC

# Form for Modification Request

				A. GENERAL			
<b>1. REGION</b> Cordillera Adminis	trative Region	N	<b>DEO</b> Iountain Provinc ffice				ATIVE DISTRICT Province Lone District
В	. ORIGINAL P	ROJECT		C. PR	ROPOSED	<b>D REVISE</b>	D PROJECT
4. UACS (Unified Ac 310109100956000	count Code Struct	ure as defir	ned in GAA)				
5. Project Id P00609605LZ	/						
6. Project Category 001: Ensure Safe a		tional Ro	ad System				
7. P/A/P Asset Preservation	- Rehabilitatior	n/ Recons	struction of Natio	onal Roads with Slips, Slo	ope Collar	ose, and I	Landslide - Tertiary Roads
8. Operating Unit Mountain Province	Second Distric	t Enginee	ering Office	18. Operating Unit (Ch Mountain Province See			
9. Type of Work (Er	nter Details for all (	Componen	ts below)	19. Type of Work (Ente	er Details fo	r all Compo	onents below)
Component ID	Type of W	ork		Component ID	Туре о	f Work	
CW1	Construction Protection			CW1	Constru Structu		Road Slope Protection
<b>10. PROJECT DESCF</b> Jct Talubin-Barlig-N 058 - K0442 + 072, 425 - K0443 + 451, 055 - K0446 + 090, 390 - K0446 + 415, 490 - K0446 + 528, 040 - K0447 + 057,	latonin-Paracel K0443 + 190 - K K0445 + 105 - K K0446 + 307 - K K0446 + 438 - K K0446 + 545 - K	ad Rd - K0442 + 207, K0443 + 35, K0446 + 35, K0446 + 50, K0446 + 60, K0447 +	Jct Talubin-Barlig-Natonin-Paracelis-Calaccad Rd / K0442 + 058/- K0442 + 072/ K0443 + 190 - K0443 + 207/ K0443 + 425 / K0443 + 451 K0445 + 105 - K0445 + 135/ K0446 + 055 - K0446 + 090, K0446 + 307/ - K0446 + 335/ K0446 + 390 - K0446 + 415/ K0446 + 438 - K0446 + 450, K0446 + 490 - K0446 + 528, K0446 + 545 - K0446 + 560, K0447 + 040 - K0447 + 057/ K0447 + 382 - K0447 + 417, <b>K0453 + 883 - K0454</b> + 000				
<b>11. ALLOTMENT (P</b> 26,098	<b>'000)</b> (as recorded		<b>21. REVISED ESTIMATED COST (P'000)</b> (Equal to, or lower than GAA allotment) 26,098 <b>22. CAF</b> (To be obtained fr Financial Management office <b>UYES</b>				
12. PHYSICAL TARG	iET (Enter Details	for all Com	ponents below)	23. PHYSICAL TARGET (Enter Details for all Components below)			
Component ID	Target	Target	Unit	Component ID	Target	/	Target Unit
CW1	W1 2,581.000 Square M		e Meters (m2)	CW1	2,530.0	000	Square Meters (m2) 🦯
13. UNIT COST (Ente	r Dotaile for all C		bolowi	24 UNIT COST /			
Component ID		1		24. UNIT COST (Enter De			
component ID	Component ( (P'000)	(F	arget Unit Cost 2'000/Target Init)	Component ID	Compo (P'000)	nent Cos	t Target Unit Cost (P'000/Target Unit)
CW1	25,576.040	9	.909	CW1	25,576.	.040	10.109
EAO	521.960			EAO	521.960	0 /	

Form for Modification Request

Start Y       End Y       Start Y       End Y         Start Y       End Y       Start Y       End Y         L5. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)       Z6. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)       Tertiary         Ide. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of hor original project)       Z7. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of revised project)       Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office         Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office       Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office       Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date       Planned Start Dete       Planned End Date         april 1, 2022       29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANTY?       30. UNDER WARRANTY?         NO       YES       D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS       31. PROJECT IMPLECTION (Explain in detail of Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         • There is change In station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.       • There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.         • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square metters to 2530 Square metters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonethelees considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work	Start X       End X       End X       End X         Start Y       End Y       Start Y       End Y         15. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)       26. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)       Tertiary         Tertiary       Tertiary       26. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)       27. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of revised project)         Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office       Routain Province Second District Engineering Office       Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office         17. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)       Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         July 30, 2022       April 1, 2022       April 2, 202       30. UNDER WARRANT         © NO       YES       0. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS       30. UNDER WARRANT         31. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Floed Control Projects)       0. NO       YES         NO       YES       N/A       32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Lappen in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)       • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the chang and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED       Inpage Stare Stare Stare Stare Star	the propose
L5. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)       26. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)         Tertiary       Tertiary         L6. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of he original project)       27. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of revised project)         Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office       Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office         17. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         July 30, 2022       April 1, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANTY?         NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         31. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES         NO       YES         April 1, 2022       NO         YES       NO         NO       YES         Secottaget in str	15. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)       26. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)         Tertiary       Tertiary         16. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the original project)       27. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of revised project)         Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         17. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         July 30, 2022       Planned Start Date         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         July 30, 2022       29. OVERLAP?         30. UNDER WARRANT         ⊠ NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         31. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Sullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         • There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.         • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the chang and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing c	the propose
Tertiary       Tertiary         L6. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the original project)       27. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the propose revised project)         Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         July 30, 2022       Planned Start Date         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         July 30, 2022       29. OVERLAP?         30. UNDER WARRANTY?         NO       YES         D. ATTACHIMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         31. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         • There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soll/ rock collapse.         • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED         NO       YES	Tertiary       Tertiary         16. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the original project)       27. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of revised project)         Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office       Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office         17. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date       Planned Start Date         February 1, 2022       July 30, 2022       April 1, 2022       August 28, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANT         © NO       YES       D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         31. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)       NO       YES         NO       YES       N/A         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail) of Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)       •         •       There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.       •         •       The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of th Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED	the propose
16. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the original project)       27. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the original project)         Wountain Province Second District Engineering Office       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         Planned Start Date       Planned Start Date         NO       YES	16. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the original project)       27. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of revised project)         Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office       Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office         17. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         February 1, 2022       July 30, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANT         NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         31. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES         NO       YES         NO       YES         S2. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Builet point format; minimum of 2 points)         • There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.         • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED       INO         NO       YES	the propose
he original project) Viountain Province Second District Engineering Office Viountain Proving Second District Engineering Office Viountain Proving Second Dis	the original project)  Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office  Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office  Mountain Province Second District Engineering Office  Planned Start Date Planned End Date Planned End Date Planned Start Date Planned Start Date Planned End Date Planned Start Date Planned Start Date Planned End Date Planned Start Date Planned Start Date Planned Start Date Planned Start Date Planned End Date Planned Start	the propose
17. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         Planned Start Date       Planned End Date       Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         -ebruary 1, 2022       July 30, 2022       April 1, 2022       August 28, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANTY?         ⊠ NO       ☐ YES       D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         B1. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)       ☐ NO       ☐ YES       ☑ N/A         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail jn Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)       •       There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.       •         •       The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED       ☐       NO       ☑ YES         34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED       \$	17. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)       28. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)         Planned Start Date February 1, 2022       Planned End Date July 30, 2022       Planned Start Date April 1, 2022       Planned End Date August 28, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANT ⊠ NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         81. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)       NO         NO       YES         NA         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail jn Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         • There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.         • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the chang and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of th Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED       INO         NO       YES         34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	
Planned Start Date       Planned End Date       April 1, 2022       Planned End Date       August 28, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANTY?       MO       YES       NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         SIL PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES       NA         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         •       There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collarse.       •         •       The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED         NO       XYES         34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	Planned Start Date       Planned End Date       Planned Start Date       Planned End Date         February 1, 2022       July 30, 2022       April 1, 2022       August 28, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANT         NO       YES       NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         SI. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES       N/A         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         •       There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.       •         •       The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the chang and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED       INO       XYES         S4. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED       XAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	
rebruary 1, 2022       July 30, 2022       April 1, 2022       August 28, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANTY?         NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         B1. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES         NO       YES         X1. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES         X2. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         • There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.         • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED         NO       YES         34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	rebruary 1, 2022       July 30, 2022       April 1, 2022       August 28, 2022         29. OVERLAP?       30. UNDER WARRANT         NO       YES       NO       YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         S1. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES       N/A         S2. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         • There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.         • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         B3. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED         NO       YES         S4. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	
☑ NO       ☑ YES       ☑ NO       ☑ YES         D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         B1. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         □ NO       □ YES       ☑ N/A         State of the station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.         ○ The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         B3. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED       ☑         □NO       ☑ YES         W4. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	☑ NO       ☑ YES       ☑ NO       ☑ YES         D. ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         ☑ NO       ☑ YES       ☑ N/A         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         ● There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.       ●         ● The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the chang and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         B3. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED       ☑         ☑NO       ☑ YES         Ø4. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	
D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS  3.1. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)  NO YES N/A  3. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points) There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse. The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department. 3. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED NO XYES 4. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	D. ATTACHMENTS & JUSTIFICATIONS         31. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)         NO       YES         NA         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         • There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.         • The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the chang and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED         INO       ⊠YES         34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	ΓΥ?
<ul> <li>B1. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)</li> <li>NO  YES  N/A</li> <li>32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points) <ul> <li>There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.</li> <li>The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.</li> </ul> </li> <li>33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED <ul> <li>NO</li> <li>XYES</li> </ul> </li> <li>34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>31. PROJECT IMPACT ANALYSIS ATTACHED? (For Flood Control Projects)</li> <li>NO ☐ YES ☑ N/A</li> <li>32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points) <ul> <li>There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.</li> <li>The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the chang and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.</li> </ul> </li> <li>33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED ☐NO ☑YES</li> <li>34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED</li> </ul>	
□ NO       □ YES       ☑ N/A         32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)       •         •       There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mitigation d to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.       •         •       The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change in type and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless considered reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.         33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED       □         □NO       ☑YES         34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	<ul> <li>NO</li> <li>YES</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>32. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION (Explain in detail in Bullet point format; minimum of 2 points)         <ul> <li>There is change in station limit because there is a need to add a section that needs immediate slope mit to its susceptibility to soil/ rock collapse.</li> <li>The decrease in the physical target from 2581 Square meters to 2530 Square meters is due to the change and design height of the slope mitigation structure. The resulting estimated unit cost is nonetheless cor reasonable since the unit cost of items of work involved are within the range of the prevailing cost of the Department.</li> </ul> </li> <li>33. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED         <ul> <li>NO</li> <li>YES</li> <li>A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	34. A MAP GENERATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GIS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WORK LOCATION SUBMITTED	nsidered

### Form for Modification Request

	3	5. PREPARED BY	
Name:	BETHANY G. BALILIS	Position:	Engineer II
Office:	Mountain Province 2nd DEO	Date:	
36. REVI	EWED BY DISTRICT OFFICE (If Required)		38. REVIEWED BY REGIONAL OFFICE
Name:	JERRY S. CHIMUCAG	Name:	ANGELITA B.MABITAZAN
Position:	Chief Planning and Design Section	Position:	ghief, Planning and Design Division
Date:	//	Date:	1
37. RECOM	MENDED BY DISTRICT OFFICE (If Required)		39. RECOMMENDED BY REGIONAL OFFICE
Name:	RUSTOM A. MARTINEZ	Name:	KHADAFFY D. TANGGOL
Position:	District Engineer	Position:	Regional Director
Date:		Date:	

		Form	Tor Woomica	ition	Request	
UACS (Unified Accout 310109100956000	nt Code Structur	e as defined in GAA)				
Project Id P00609605LZ						
	40. DP\	WH OFFICE OF TI	HE UNDERSECRET	ARIES	FOR OPERATIONS U	ISE ONLY
Category of Modification       Category D - Change         (choose one)       Category D - Change			- Change in Location - Change in Operating Send to PS-PD to am n does not comply with on	nits, due Unit (rec nend MYI h DBM C	PS ategories	in budget
Primary Reason fo	r Request (ba	sed on Category	of Modification):	: (choos	e one)	T
Category A	Category B	1	Category C		Category D	Adjustment (Must be no change to target or GAA line item)
<ul> <li>Typographical err on Project Description</li> <li>Project Description needs items removed due to typo error</li> </ul>	Work Chang due to decrea	pping Sections of e in station limits, increase or ise in budget e in Physical Target	<ul> <li>No such Barang</li> <li>No such</li> <li>City/Municipali</li> </ul>		Change in (IO), requiring a change in the (OU)	<ul> <li>Typographical error on Project Component Description</li> <li>Typographical error on other fields not included in, or not consistent with GAA</li> <li>Move funds between Project Components</li> <li>Add/delete Project Components</li> <li>Change of Itemized Project Component:</li> <li>Various</li> <li>Description</li> <li>Location</li> <li>Amount</li> <li>Target</li> </ul>
		Reviewed by C	Office of the Unde	ersecre	tary f <b>o</b> r Operations	
Name:	Engr Agnes	Lu			Engr. Manny Bulus	an
Signature	Amus	emen			abl	
Position:	Technical Sta	aff - Office of the	Undersecretary		Technical Staff - Of	fice of the Undersecretary
Date:						
		41. DPWH	I PLANNING SERV	ICE OF	FICE USE ONLY	
Category of Modifi (choose one)	cation	Category B - Category C - Category D - Adjustment Modification	- Change in Location - Change in Operating - Send to PS-PD to am a does not comply with on	nits, due Unit (rec nend MYI h DBM Ca	PS ategories	n budget
Namo	CUDICTUT		Reviewed by Plan			
Name: Signature	CHRISTYBE	A	Name		PETER PAUL R. COP	(IEZ /
Position:	Regional Co	ordinator	Positi	on:	OIC – Chief, Program	mming Division
Date:		3 24 2077	Date:			

## Annexure A to Form for Modification Request

Component ID	Section ID	Start Limit LRP + Disp	End Limit LRP + Disp	Start Chainage	End Chainage	Length (m)	Type of Work	Detail Scope of Work	Target Unit	Physical Target
P00609605ĽŽ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0442 + 058	K0442 + 072	55557	55571	14	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Cribwall (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	98
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0443 + 190	K0443 + 207	56740	56757	17	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Stone Masonry (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	85
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0443 + 425	K0443 + 451	56975	57001	26	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Cribwall (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	182
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0445 + 105	K0445 + 135	58270	58300	30	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Stone Masonry (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	210
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0446 + 055	K0446 + 090	59139	59174	35	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Stone Masonry (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	175
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0446 + 307	K0446 + 335	59391	59419	28	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Cribwall (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	196
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0446 + 390	K0446 + 415	59474	59499	25	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Stone Masonry (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	125

1

## Annexure A to Form for Modification Request

Component ID	Section ID	Start Limit LRP + Disp	End Limit LRP + Disp	Start Chainage	End Chainage	Length (m)	Type of Work	Detail Scope of Work	Target Unit	Physical Target
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0446 + 438	K0446 + 450	59522	59534	12	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Stone Masonry (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	48
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0446 + 490	K0446 + 528	59574	59612	38	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Cribwall (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	342
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0446 + 545	K0446 + 560	59629	59644	15	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Cribwall (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	105
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0447 + 040	K0447 + 057	60023	60040	17	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Cribwall (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	119
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0447 + 382	K0447 + 417	60365	60400	35	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Cribwall (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	245
P00609605LZ - CW1	S03997LZ	K0453 + 883	K0454 + 000	66930	67016	86	Construction of Road Slope Protection Structure	Retaining Wall (R/S)	Square Meters (m2)	600