

Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Manila

March 15, 2018

MEMORANDUM

;

FOR

MARK A. VILLAR

Secretary This Department

This refers to the memorandum dated February 5, 2018 of Regional Director **NERIE D. BUENO, DPWH-CAR**, requesting for the **modification** of project under the FY2018 GAA, to wit:

	As	per GAA/Origina	1		As Modified		
			Project D	escription	escription		
	ACS No. 3002031 roject ID: P00230						
		ement of Access	rt Program – Roads leading to				
1 De	eclared Tourism De	stinations		Declared Tourism Destinations			
le le		tan-Balili (Ma Mountain and Ga Tadian, Mountain		Guinzadan-Cagubatan-Balili (Mankayan) Road leading to Mogao Mountain and Gawaan Lake, incl. Bridge, Bauko and Tadian, Mountain Province			
1	Type of Work/ Physical Target	Unit Cost	Allocation	Type of Work/ Physical Target	Unit Cost	Estimated Cost	
	CW1 - Const. of Concrete Road/ 5.714 lane km	₱ 16.89 M/ lane km	₱ 96.50M	CW1 - Const. of Concrete Road/ 5.714 lane km	₱ 17.97 M/ lane km	₽102,684,459.5 4	
	CW2 - Const. of Concrete Bridge/ -302.99 sq.m.	₱ 95.55 T/ Sq.m.	₽ 28.95M	CW2 - Const. of Concrete Bridge/ 302.99 sq.m.	P 75.14 T/ Sq.m.	₽22,765,540.46	
ſ	EAO	-	₱ 4.55M	EAO	-	. ₽ 4.55M	
	·····	Total:	P 130.00M	Total: 🕈 130.00M			
טנ	Justification: • The increase in cost per lane km for CW1 by ₱1.08M (from ₱16.89M/lane km to ₱17.97M/lane km) is due to the introduction of drainage and slope protection works in order to prevent road						

slip, landslide, and slope collapse.

- The decrease in cost per sq.m. by \$20.410 T (from \$95.55 T/sq.m. to \$75.14 T/sq.m.) for the CW2 is due to the actual design assessment.
- The derived unit cost for both CW1 and CW2 are considered reasonable based on the approved Program of Works (POW) and Detailed Unit Price Analysis (DUPA).

Based on our evaluation, the submitted request for modification of the said project is in order; hence, approval hereof is recommended.

DIMAS S. SOGUILON, CESO II Undersecretary/For Regional Operations Regions I, II) II, IV-A, V & CAR ISAPPROVED; SPPPO

MARK A. VILLAR Secretary

2.4 LCA/AVS/ERP/DSS



h for Project Modification R ٣ĺ

		а. Дат		- S		
	· F	h for Proje	ct Modification	Require		
		and a stand of the	A. GENERAL			
1, REGION		2. DEO		3. LEGISL	ATIVE DISTRICT	
CAR		Mt. Province 157	T DEO	MOUNT	AIN PROVINCE	
Supervision of the set	B. ORIGINAL PROJE	CÎ.	C.	PROPOSED REVISI	ED PROJECT	
4. UACS (Unified A 300203100084	Account Code Structure as d	lefined in GAA)				
5. Project Id P00230096LZ						
6. Project Catego	ry					
Convergence ar	nd Special Support P	'rogram		•		
7. P/A/P Convergence an Destinations	nd Special Support -	Construction/ Imp	provement of Acces	s Roads leading to	Declared Tourism	
8. Operating Unit	r		18. Operating Unit (Change subject to DBM approval) Central Office			
9. Type of Work (I	Enter Details for all Compo	nents below)	19. Type of Work (E	nter Details for all Compo	onents below)	
Component ID	Type of Work		Component ID	Type of Work		
CW2	Construction of Bridge	of Concrete	CW2	Construction	Construction of Concrete Bridge	
EAO		·	ΕΑΟ			
CW1	Construction	of Concrete Road	CW1	Construction of Concrete Road		
10. PROJECT DESC	CRIPTION (as recorded in	GAA)	20. PROJECT DESCRIPTION (of the revised project) <u>Convergence and Special Support - Construction/</u> <u>Improvement of Access Roads leading to Declared Tourism</u> <u>Destinations: Guinzadan-Cagubatan-Balili (Mankayan) Road</u>			
	nd Special Support - f Access Roads lead					
Tourism Destina	ations: Guinzadan-C	Cagubatan-Balili				
	ad leading to Moga ncl. Bridge, Bauko a nce		<u>leading to Mogao Mountain and Gawaan Lake, incl. Bridge,</u> <u>Bauko and Tadian, Mountain Province</u>			
11. ALLOTMENT (130,000 /	P'000) (as recorded in GA	A)	21. REVISED ESTIMATED COST (P'000) 22. CAF (To be obtained from Financial Management office) (Equal to, or lower than GAA allotment) Financial Management office) 130,000 / XYES			
12. PHYSICAL TAR	GET (Enter Details for all (Components below)	23. PHYSICAL TARGET (Enter Details for all Components below)			
Component ID	Target	Target Unit	Component ID	Target	Target Unit	
CW2	302.99	Square Meters (m2)	CW2	302.99 /	Square Meters (m)	
EAO			EAO			
CW1	5.714	Lane Km	CW1	5.714 /	Lane Km	
13. UNIT COST (En	iter Details for all Compone	ents below)	24. UNIT COST (Ente	r Details for all Componer	nts below)	
Component ID	Component Cost (P'000)	Target Unit Cost (P'000/Target Unit)	Component ID	Component Co (P'000)	ost Target Unit Cost (P'000/Target Unit)	
CW2	<u>28,950</u>	<u>95.55</u>	CW2	22,765.5404	6 75.14 /	
EAO	4,550 /		EAO	4,550	E F -	
CW1	<u>96,500</u> /	<u>16,888.34</u>	CW1	102,684.459	54 / 17,970.68 /	

7

المري

for Project Modification

14. PROJECT WORK LOCATION (Must be defined in strict accordance with DO 65 Series 2014)				25. PROJECT WORK LOCATION (Must be defined in strict accordance with DC 65 Series 2014. Also complete Annex A for national road projects)				
Sayapot	ayapot, Tadian, Mountain Province			Sayapot,	Tadian, Mountain	Province		
Start X	16.94359	End X	16.94273	Start X	16.94359	End X	16.94273	
Start Y	art Y 120.8311 End Y 120.84936			Start Y	120.8311	End Y	120.84936	
15. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)			26. ROAD	CLASSIFICATION (if ap	oplicable)			
the origina	LEMENTING OFFIC al project) CAR, Regional O		plementing Office of	revised proje	MENTING OFFICE (Re oct) AR, Regional Office		ting Office of the prop	
	JECT IMPLEMENTA		Y(P)		CT IMPLEMENTATIO			
	Start Date		End Date	Planned Start Date 06/02/2018 29. OVERLAP?			Planned End Date	
,-,		//				30. UNDER WARRANTY?		
					□ YES		T YES	
<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		D. ATTACHN	AENTS & JUS	STIFICATIONS		<u></u>	
31. PROJ	JECT IMPACT ANAL		ED2 (for flood Contro	Projects)			al a Constitution of the Const	
	ILCI IIVIFACI AIVAI		EDT (POL PIOOD CONTO	,,				
⊐ NO 32. TECH ≻	☐ YES NICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amou	ION (Explain in o cost per lance slope prote ppe collapse, cost per met nt was inclue	'A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works whic ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 – Ro	rmat; minimum (ad compone ch aimed to 220,410 or 2 ad Construc	nt by P1,082,340 (protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a tion/Improvement	rom road slip/l ctual design a: t of access roa	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti	
⊐ NO 32. TECH ≫ 33. GEO1 ⊐NO [☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in o of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in c cost per lance slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g.cost of the SUBMITTED	'A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered in CW1 Ro struction/ Improv dered reasonable department.	ad compone ch aimed to 220,410 or 2 ad Construct rement of Ro e as the unit	nt by P1,082,340 (protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o rork involved a	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra	
☐ NO 32. TECH ≫ 33. GEO ☐ NO 1 34. A MA	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amount increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FR	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in c cost per lance slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g.cost of the SUBMITTED	'A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered in CW1 Ro struction/ Improv dered reasonable department.	ad compone ch aimed to 220,410 or 2 ad Construct rement of Ro e as the unit	nt by P1,082,340 (protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a tion/Improvement oad (CW1) and dec cost of items of w	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o rork involved a	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra	
☐ NO 32. TECH ≫ 33. GEO ☐ NO 1 34. A MA	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was includ cost of Cons W2) is consid g.cost of the SUBMITTED	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department.	ad compone ch aimed to 220,410 or 2 ad Construct rement of Ro e as the unit	nt by P1,082,340 (protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a ction/Improvement oad (CW1) and dec cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o rork involved a	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEO □ NO [34. A MA □ NO [ame:	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g.cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 o protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a ction/Improvement oad (CW1) and dec cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEOT □ NO [34. A MA □ NO [ame: ffice:	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES ROSA DPWF	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 o protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a ction/Improvement oad (CW1) and dec cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEOT □ NO [34. A MA □ NO [ame: ffice:	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 o protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a ction/Improvement oad (CW1) and dec cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I	rom road slip/l ctual design a: t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEO □ NO □ NO 34. A MA □ NO ame: ffice: 36.	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES ROSA DPWF	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 o protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a tion/Improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED	rom road slip/l ctual design a: t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEO □ NO □ NO 34. A MA □ NO ame: ffice: 36. I ame:	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES ROSA DPWF	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a stion/improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK (BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEO □ NO □ NO 34. A MA □ NO ame: ffice: 36. I ame: osition:	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES ROSA DPWF	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a stion/improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK (BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin BY REGIONAL	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
☐ NO 32. TECH 33. GEO 33. GEO 34. A MA ☐ NO 34. A MA ☐ NO 35. GEO 36. I ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: ame: biton: biton: ame: biton: bit	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES ROSA DPWF	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was includ cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 - Ro truction/ Improved dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA onal Office CE (If Required)	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a stion/improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK (BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin BY REGIONAL INABITAZAN	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
□ NO 32. TECH → 33. GEOT □ NO □ NO 34. A MA □ NO 1 ame: 36. I ame: osition: ate:	□ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amound increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S INTAGGED PHOTOS S	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was includ cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 - Ro truction/ Improved dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA onal Office CE (If Required)	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to ad- tion/Improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E Chief of Plan 39. RECOMMEND	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin BY REGIONAL INABITAZAN	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEOT □ NO 34. A MA □ NO No No No No No No No No No No	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES ROSA DPWF	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 o protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a ction/Improvement oad (CW1) and dec cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEOT □ NO [34. A MA □ NO [ame: ffice: 36. [ame: 36.]	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES ROSA DPWF	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a stion/improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK (BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin BY REGIONAL	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
☐ NO 32. TECH 33. GEO 33. GEO 34. A MA ☐ NO 34. A MA ☐ NO 54. A MA ☐ NO 54. A MA ☐ NO 54. A MA ☐ NO 54. A MA ☐ NO 55. Second 100 (100) 100 (100)	☐ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amoun increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S ⊠YES AP GENERATED FRO ⊠YES ROSA DPWF	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was inclue cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for e kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 Ro dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to a stion/improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK (BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin BY REGIONAL	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
☐ NO 32. TECH 33. GEO 33. GEO 34. A MA ☐ NO 34. A MA ☐ NO 34. A MA ☐ NO 34. A MA ☐ NO 34. A MA ☐ NO 36. I ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: ame: bition: ate:	□ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amound increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S INTAGGED PHOTOS S	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was includ cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 - Ro truction/ Improved dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA onal Office CE (If Required)	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to ad- tion/Improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK (BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E Chief of Plan	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin BY REGIONAL INABITAZAN	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
□ NO 32. TECH → 33. GEOT □ NO □ NO 34. A MA □ NO 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	□ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amound increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S INTAGGED PHOTOS S	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was includ cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 - Ro truction/ Improved dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA onal Office CE (If Required)	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to ad- tion/Improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK (BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E Chief of Plan	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin BY REGIONAL INABITAZAN	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
☐ NO 32. TECH 33. GEOT ☐ NO 34. A MA ☐ NO 35. GEOT ☐ NO 36. I ame: 36. I ame: 36. I ame: 37. REC	□ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amound increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S INTAGGED PHOTOS S	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was includ cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 - Ro truction/ Improved dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA onal Office CE (If Required)	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to ad- tion/Improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E Chief of Plan 39. RECOMMEND	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBN Chief, Plannin BY REGIONAL INABITAZAN INABITAZAN INING Division	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	
□ NO 32. TECH > 33. GEOT □ NO □ NO 34. A MA □ NO 1 34. A MA □ NO 1 34. A MA □ NO 1 34. A MA □ NO 1 34. A MA □ NO 1 36. I ame: 36. I ame: 36. I 37. REC	□ YES INICAL JUSTIFICAT The increase in of drainage and protection to sid The decrease in deducted amound increase in unit Construction (C of the prevailing TAGGED PHOTOS S INTAGGED PHOTOS S	⊠ N/ ION (Explain in o cost per land slope prote- ope collapse, cost per met nt was includ cost of Cons W2) is consid g cost of the SUBMITTED OM THE DEPA	A detail in Bullet point for kilometer of roa ction works which ter of bridge by F ded in CW1 - Ro truction/ Improved dered reasonable department. ARTMENT'S GIS OF 35 CUA onal Office CE (If Required)	THE PROPOS	nt by P1,082,340 of protect the road fi 21.36% is due to ad- tion/Improvement oad (CW1) and ded cost of items of w ED PROJECT WORK I BY: OIC-Section 38. REVIEWED ANGELITA E Chief of Plan 39. RECOMMEND	rom road slip/l ctual design as t of access roa crease in unit o ork involved a .OCATION SUBM Chief, Plannin By REGIONAL HABITAZAN ming Division ED BY REGION	landslide and as ssessment and t d. There upon ti cost of Bridge are within the ra MITTED g & Design Sect	

Form for Modification Request

ū

•

1	ccount Code Structure as	defined in GAA)				
300203100		PWH OFFICE OF THE UNDER	RSECRETARIES FC	OR OPERATIONS USE ONLY		
Category of Modification (choose one) Primary Reason for Request: (choose one)		PWH OFFICE OF THE UNDERSECRETARIES FOR OPERATIONS USE ONLY Category One -Typographical Errors Category Two - Change in Station Limits, due to increase or decrease in budget Category Three - Change in Location Modification does not comply with DBM Categories Change in Work Station Limits				
	R	eviewed by Office of th	ne Undersecre	tary for Operations		
Name:	LEVINA C. AMAR	an a	Name:	ANTONIO V. SOBREVIÑAS, JR.		
Signature	ferral		Signature	146		
Position:	Engineer V		Position:	Project Manager II		
Date:	2112/13	······································	Date:	3110/18		
		41. DPWH PLANNI				
(choose one)		Category Three – Change	hange in Station Limits, due to increase or decrease in budget Components			
	12	Reviewed	by Planning S	ervice		
Name: MARIETA M. MANDE		Name:	MILAGROS C. MANAYSAY			
Signature Adu		Signature:	mananpay			
Position:	sition: CAR - Regional Coordinator		Position:	Chief of Programming Division		
Date: 3/19/18		Date:	3/19/18			

T